

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 306.35565X00

PM51/0311
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT & KRAUS
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 1800
ARLINGTON VA 22209

EXAMINER
MILLER, E

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

3641

DATE MAILED: 03/11/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

•	
Office Action Summary	Application No. OS (Applicant(s)) Research and Group Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this communication concern	an the cover short beneath the correspondence address
••	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Peri d for Response  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> </ul>	136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL.	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>	or formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disp sition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	
• •	·
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
•	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	ne priority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
Office	Acti n Summary

\*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No.

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

- 1. Applicants' response to the previous requirement was incomplete. Paper No. 7 is hereby incorporated herein by reference and repeated.
- 2. Initially, applicants' species election is noted as evidently referring to that of Example 2, as on specification page 14, e.g. This being the case, the listing of ingredients on page 2 of applicants' response evidently contains a minor error, reciting potassium nitrate instead of perchlorate as actually found in said Example 2. Confirmation of the election of Example 2 is requested.
- 3. Further, applicants were required, under the PCT rules, "to elect a plurality of inventions as to one class of invention, such as compositions, or a plurality of classes of invention as to one species."

This applicants did not do. Should applicants again fail to make this choice, this will be regarded as applicants' waiving their right to so elect, and the species of composition will be examined as in the case of US national applications. [This does not waive the statutory requirement to respond to this action.]

- 4. Applicants' traverse has been noted. It is not persuasive, as it clearly refers to a plurality of classes of invention as to a single product. In the instant situation, this ignores the device or system group of invention [Group III], as well as the fact that Group I does not relate to a <u>single</u> product, e.g. Additionally, evidence may be developed in the examination that shows that the broad concept, e.g., is not patentable, and a common technical feature to properly compel examination of a plurality of classes of invention must be patentable, and broad claim 1 may not be patentable, e.g.
- 4. This restriction/election requirement is made final.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning either this or an earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Edward A. Miller at (703) 306-4163.

Examiner Miller may normally be reached daily, except alternate Fridays, from 8:30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach Examiner Miller by telephone are unsuccessful, his supervisor, Mr. Jordan, can be reached at (703) 306-4159. The Group fax number is (703) 305-7687.

If there is no answer, or for any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the application status, please call the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Miller/em March 9, 1999

EDWARD A. BULLER
PROBLEM OF A SECOND SECOND